The criteria for metabolic syndrome and the national health screening and education system in Japan
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Two major definitions of metabolic syndrome have been proposed. One focuses on the accumulation of risk factors, a measure used by the American Heart Association (AHA) and the National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute (NHLBI); the other focuses on abdominal obesity, a measure used by the International Diabetes Federation (IDF) and the Japanese government. The latter definition takes waist circumference (WC) into consideration as an obligatory component, whereas the former does not. In 2009, the IDF, NHLBI, AHA, and other organizations attempted to unify these criteria; as a result, WC is no longer an obligatory component of those systems, while it remains obligatory in the Japanese criteria. In 2008, a new Japanese cardiovascular screening and education system focused on metabolic syndrome was launched. People undergoing screening are classified into three groups according to the presence of abdominal obesity and the number of metabolic risk factors, and receive health educational support from insurers. This system has yielded several beneficial outcomes: the visibility of metabolic syndrome at the population level has drastically improved; preventive measures have been directed toward metabolic syndrome, which is expected to become more prevalent in future generations; and a post-screening education system has been established. However, several problems with the current system have been identified and are under debate. In this review, we discuss topics related to metabolic syndrome, including (1) the Japanese criteria for metabolic syndrome; (2) metabolic syndrome and the universal health screening and education system; and (3) recent debates about Japanese criteria for metabolic syndrome.
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INTRODUCTION

Japan has several unique points in criteria, screening and education systems for metabolic syndrome. In this review, we discuss topics related to metabolic syndrome in Japan, including the Japanese criteria for metabolic syndrome; the universal health screening and education system for metabolic syndrome; and recent debates about Japanese criteria for metabolic syndrome.

JAPANESE CRITERIA FOR METABOLIC SYNDROME

Many definitions of metabolic syndrome have been used worldwide, but they can be classified into two major types of criteria. The definition of the International Diabetes Federation (IDF) represents one type of criteria [1], while the definitions of the American Heart Association (AHA) and the National Heart, Lung and Blood Institute (NHLBI) of the US represent the other type (Figure 1) [2]. The major difference between these definitions is that the IDF criteria regard central obesity as an essential component of metabolic syndrome, whereas the AHA criteria focus on the accumulation of metabolic risk factors. The AHA criteria take waist circumference (WC) into consideration as one of five risk factors and define metabolic syndrome as the presence of any three components out of these five. The Japanese criteria [3] were estab-
Central obesity: based on race and gender specific WC cutoffs

Harmonized criteria (2009)
IDF, NHLBI, AHA, WHF, IAS, IASO

≥3 of the following
- Elevated WC
- Elevated TG
- Reduced HDL
- Elevated BP
- Elevated FPG

Japanese criteria (2005)

Central obesity: WC at umbilical level
men: ≥85 cm, women: ≥90 cm

≥2 of the following
- Elevated TG and/or reduced HDL
- Elevated BP
- Elevated FPG

Figure 1. Selected criteria of metabolic syndrome. IDF, International Diabetes Federation; WC, waist circumference; TG, triglyceride; HDL, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol; BP, blood pressure; FPG, fasting plasma glucose; AHA, American Heart Association; NHLBI, National Heart Lung and Blood Institute; WHF, World Heart Federation; IAS, International Atherosclerosis Society; IASO, International Association for the Study of Obesity; BMI, body mass index; SBP, systolic blood pressure; DBP, diastolic blood pressure.

Table 1. Comparison of metabolic syndrome criteria. |...
discussions of the Japanese government commission on the way of universal cardiovascular screening and education system.

Q1: Is metabolic syndrome really important among the Japanese?
This question has arisen because many studies have shown that the population attributable risk fraction (PAF) of metabolic syndrome is not as high as the PAF of high blood pressure. For example, the Japan Public Health Center-based Prospective Study reported that the PAF of high blood pressure was 50% for men and 53% for women, whereas that of metabolic syndrome (based on IDF criteria) was 10% for men and 6% for women [7]. However, an upward trend in obesity among East Asian men (but not among women, and not as steep as among non-Asians) may increase the impact of metabolic syndrome in the near future, especially among younger men. Therefore, it has been decided that metabolic syndrome is of importance among the Japanese, as a matter of disease management and prevention of cardiovascular disease in the future.

Q2: Is it appropriate to keep waist circumference as an essential component of the criteria for metabolic syndrome?
This question is based on the fact that many studies have shown that the PAF of metabolic syndrome itself is not as high as that found in the non-obese, but high-risk population. For example, the Ibaraki Prefectural Health Study reported that the PAF of high blood pressure was 50% for men and 53% for women, whereas that of metabolic syndrome (based on IDF criteria) was 10% for men and 6% for women [7]. However, an upward trend in obesity among East Asian men (but not among women, and not as steep as among non-Asians) may increase the impact of metabolic syndrome in the near future, especially among younger men. Therefore, it has been decided that metabolic syndrome is of importance among the Japanese, as a matter of disease management and prevention of cardiovascular disease in the future.

In response, the committee has determined that it is necessary to provide additional health education for non-obese, but high-risk people.

Q3: Are the Japanese waist circumference cutpoints appropriate?
The harmonized criteria of metabolic syndrome define central obesity with race-specific and gender-specific WC cutoffs [4]. Japanese cutoffs are ≥ 85 cm for men and ≥ 90 cm for women, defined based on cross-sectional studies that showed that these cutpoints were equivalent to 100 cm² of visceral fat area [3]. These cutoffs have sometimes been criticized, because only Japan sets higher cutoffs for women than men. However, according to a study supported by the Ministry of Health, Labour, and Welfare [9], changing WC cutoffs from 80 to 90 cm had virtually no effect on the impact of metabolic syndrome on cardiovascular disease. Taken together with the fact that the incidence of cardiovascular disease is much lower in women than in men, the committee concluded that the WC cutpoints should be maintained as is.

CONCLUSION
The conclusions of this review are as follows. First, the Japanese criteria for metabolic syndrome differ in several respects from the international criteria, including the use of WC as an essential component, with unique WC cutoffs. Second, though a universal metabolic syndrome screening and education system was launched in 2008, the epidemiological evidence for the metabolic syndrome measures was not sufficient at the start of the system’s implementation. Third, revision of the metabolic syndrome screening system is now under consideration, but it is unlikely to be changed
drastically. Fourth, considering measures of metabolic syndrome in East Asia, we should note that the etiology of arteriosclerosis differs between East Asians and Westerners.
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